IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 13TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 22ND BHADRA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 670 of 2007(S)

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN THE WPC.

ALL KERALA DOCUMENT WRITERS & SCRIBERS
ASSOCIATION, REGD.NO.96/77, TRIVANDRUM,
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
S.KRISHNASWAMY PILLAI.

BY ADV. SRI.MOHAN C.MENON

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS

---

1. SRI.MARAPANDYAN, AGE AND FATHERS NAME
NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, SECRETARY,
TAXES DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. SRI.A.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,
AGE AND FATHERS NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF REGISTRATION, TRIVANDRUM.

3. SRI.S.ARAVINDAKSHAN ASARI,
AGE AND FATHERS NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
SUB-REGISTRAR, OACHIRA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BASANT BALAJI

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

C.O.C.NO. 670 OF 2007

DATED THIS THE 13th DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2007

JUDGMENT

The writ petition from which this contempt case arises relates to amendment of the Kerala Stamp (Prevention of Under Valuation of Instruments) Rules 2007. In the writ petition I passed an interim order to the effect that the 2nd respondent would not insist on any condition which are not stipulated in the rules, for registration of the documents produced before him. Now the contention of the petitioner is that in violation of the interim order the respondents are insisting on compliance of a rule which is not in existence. A counter affidavit has been filed stating that they have only insisted on the rules. I feel that this is the exact question arising in the writ petition also. Therefore, I feel that it is more appropriate to decide the writ petition itself. In such circumstances, it is not necessary to continue proceedings in this contempt case.

Accordingly, the contempt case is closed.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd